

When Evil is Legalized, Wisdom Cries in the Streets

by Jeremy James



Someone sent me an encrypted email recently. This is the hour we are living in. In order to read it I had to use a password sent under separate cover. As it happened the email did not contain unsavory information about named individuals, but the sender felt a need to protect himself nonetheless. He must have believed that any reference to the subject he was raising was, in itself, a risky affair.

This is Ireland in 2024, where discussion of matters of legitimate concern is curtailed by the sense of surveillance which now pervades our society.

Self-censorship is common in a totalitarian regime, but it is not something we normally associate with a democracy. It is one of the tools that ‘cultural’ Marxism is using to silence dissent and stifle open discussion of matters that ought to be hotly debated.

The mandarins who control the media are the ones who decide what the public can and cannot discuss. They also decide the boundaries of that discussion and the ‘rules’ which participants are expected to observe. Over the years they have gradually conditioned the public to respond obediently to their cues and not to stray too far from the accepted narrative.

The impact of media censorship on the Irish electorate

In recent years we have seen how subversive this invisible system of control can be. Christian marriage was destroyed in 2015 because the media never allowed the public to discuss the obvious association between same-sex ‘marriage’ and the corruption of traditional marriage. The same technique worked in 2018 in the run-up to the abortion referendum. The public was never allowed to discuss the obvious association between murder and the killing of an unborn child (The leaders of the so-called pro-life lobby were willing accomplices in this scandal).

Now, the government has scheduled yet another referendum to undermine the family, and once again it is deploying the media to stifle debate and shift attention away from what they are actually doing.

The Houses of the Oireachtas passed two Bills on January 23rd which decreed that a Referendum would be held on March 8th in which two proposed amendments to Article 41 of the Constitution would be put to the electorate. Article 41 deals with the “fundamental rights” of the Family and, as such, is of critical importance in Irish law.



**Leinster House: Ireland’s principal Babylonian forum,
with its massive Masonic obelisk.**

The plan to eliminate the family as a “moral institution”

By defining the family as a “moral institution” with “inalienable and imprescriptible rights” which cannot be altered or constrained in any way by manmade laws, the Constitution recognizes its God-given and immutable character. It is the bedrock on which our social order is established.

The Marxist regime that rules Ireland behind a multi-party façade has long sought to demolish Article 41. The coming New World Order – which Marxism, Freemasonry, and the Vatican are working in concert to establish – will place the state, not the family, at the center of human affairs.

Under this new order, the individual will possess only those rights and privileges which the ruling authorities consider expedient. The idea that any of our rights should be “inalienable and imprescriptible” is simply unacceptable to them. The wealthy elite will rule by fiat and decide the parameters of morality. They will not tolerate a “moral institution” of any kind.

The Referendum scheduled for March 8th is designed to shatter a central pillar in our Constitution. It is intended, in effect, to abolish any claim by Irish citizens on a pre-existing moral order. This will be achieved, not by abolishing the family per se, but by redefining it in such liberal and amorphous terms that it can no longer function as a “moral institution.”

The electorate is being deceived

The public has no idea what the ruling regime is doing via this Referendum. The average person would appear to have no understanding of the revolutionary step that the government is taking. In recent years they attacked specific constitutional and legal provisions which underpinned the moral order – such as those which protected Christian marriage, the right to life of the unborn, and the absolute distinction between male and female – but with this referendum they are going further and taking aim at the very existence of a moral order.



A jackass legal concept and a meaningless provision

We have set out the existing text of Article 41 of the Constitution – see overleaf – and marked in red the changes which the government intends to make. They begin by expanding the legal definition of the “family” to include what they are calling “durable relationships.” As we will shortly show, this will import a jackass legal concept into Irish law. They then abolish completely the special recognition given to mothers and their role in the home and insert, seemingly as a replacement, a new provision which, incredibly, says absolutely nothing!

Here is the relevant text. Judge for yourself:

“The State recognises that the provision of care, by members of a family to one another by reason of the bonds that exist among them, gives to Society a support without which the common good cannot be achieved, and shall strive to support such provision.”

CONSTITUTION of IRELAND
The Family
Article 41 ,whether founded on marriage or on other durable relationships,

1 1° the state recognises the family as the natural primary and fundamental unit group of society, and as a moral institution possessing inalienable and imprescriptible rights, antecedent and superior to all positive law.
2° the state, therefore, guarantees to protect the family in its constitution and authority, as the necessary basis of social order and as indispensable to the welfare of the nation and the state.

2 ~~1° in particular, the state recognises that by her life within the home, woman gives to the state a support without which the common good cannot be achieved.~~
~~2° the state shall, therefore, endeavour to ensure that mothers shall not be obliged by economic necessity to engage in labour to the neglect of their duties in the home.~~

3 1° the state pledges itself to guard with special care the institution of Marriage, ~~on which the family is founded~~, and to protect it against attack.
2° a court designated by law may grant a dissolution of marriage where, but only where, it is satisfied that –
(i) there is no reasonable prospect of a reconciliation between the spouses,
(ii) such provision as the court considers proper having regard to the circumstances exists or will be made for the spouses, any children of either or both of them and any other person prescribed by law, and
(iii) any further conditions prescribed by law are complied with.
3° Provision may be made by law for the recognition under the law of the state of a dissolution of marriage granted under the civil law of another state.

4 Marriage may be contracted in accordance with law by two persons without distinction as to their sex.

“The State recognises that the provision of care, by members of a family to one another by reason of the bonds that exist among them, gives to Society a support without which the common good cannot be achieved, and shall strive to support such provision.”

This is the kind of shameless rhetoric that characterized pronouncements by *Pravda* during the Soviet era. The date of the Referendum, March 8th, could well be called ‘Pravda Day’ in Ireland. (Perhaps we should celebrate it annually with a national prize for the town or village that produced the most pretentious social or cultural slogan in the style of Marx, Lenin or Mao? The best submissions could be compiled into a ‘Little Green Book’ – akin to Mao’s ‘Little Red Book’ – and given to Irish schoolchildren.)



They have far more in common than most people realize.

In its edition dated 3rd February 2024, *The Irish Times* carried an article in which “many of the most prominent organisations and politicians” set out their views on the proposed changes to Article 41. Bear in mind, as a cunning mouthpiece for Marxist ideology and an ardent supporter of the new morality, *The Irish Times* rarely allows a traditional Christian perspective to appear in its pages. As might be expected, the ‘No’ side consisted mainly of apologetic drivel, but two refreshing paragraphs managed to slip through:

“The two proposals have far-reaching and detrimental consequences for the fabric of Irish society. The re-definition of the family risks diluting the time-honored values that have long been the cornerstone of our communities, and the removal of specific acknowledgments and protections of women’s roles in the home undermines the dignity and value of their contribution.” - *Family Solidarity*

“Neither amendment is in anyone’s interests, but particularly not those of mothers and carers, who are predominantly women. Both amendments were rushed through the Houses of the Oireachtas with no pre-legislative scrutiny and were not even in line with the views of the Citizens’ Assembly and the Oireachtas Committee. Nowhere in the Constitution is it written that a woman’s place is in the home, as has been claimed by prominent NGOs and many in the media.” - *The Irish Women’s Lobby*

These contributors clearly identify the harm that the amendments will cause. The latter draws attention to the maverick approach being taken by the government, where other high-level forums were ignored and where prominent NGOs have been allowed to misquote Article 41. Consider, for example, the following statement posted on its website by the National Women’s Council (NWC):

“We are calling today for the sexist, stereotypical reference to women’s place in the home to be removed from the Constitution and replaced with a recognition of the shared responsibility of care.” – The National Women’s Council website, 24 January 2024

Source: https://www.nwci.ie/learn/article/nwc_launch_voteeyesyes_referendum_campaign



The Constitution does not say that a woman’s place is in the home. Rather it states that, where a woman chooses to stay at home to raise her children, her important contribution to society in doing so should be recognized.

The government is breaking the law

The NWC purports to be an independent body, but it is nothing of the sort. As the Irish online news site, *Gript*, reported on February 8th, virtually all of its funding comes from government sources:

“An analysis of the last decade of the accounts of the National Women’s Council of Ireland, from 2013-2022, shows that the organisation has become nearly entirely reliant on government money, with over 96% of the organisation’s staffing costs over the last 3 years being paid for with grants from government departments and publicly funded entities such as the HSE.”

Source: <https://gript.ie/an-in-depth-look-at-the-funding-of-irelands-loudest-ngo/>

This is good investigative journalism, something we seldom see in Ireland.

Following a ruling by the Supreme Court in 1995, it is illegal under Irish law for public funds (sourced through taxation) to be used in a partisan fashion to influence the outcome of a Referendum. So, not only is the government misleading the electorate as to the true implications of the Constitutional amendments that it is proposing, but it is permitting public funds to be used for this purpose, contrary to Irish law.

The harm that these amendments will do

We are unable in a paper like this to analyze the impact that the amendments are likely to have on existing Irish law. We can state however that it is certain to be immense. As a fundamental legal concept, the “family” affects how a vast swathe of Irish law is interpreted. Many core concepts are themselves dependent on how the term “family” is defined. These include marriage, parenthood, kinship, divorce, dependency, wardship, adoption, fostering, paternity, and a host of obligations and responsibilities associated with or grounded in familial relationships. The whole area of inheritance and hereditary rights, as well as pension entitlements, will also be affected. The list goes on and on.

By extending the concept of “family” or familial relationships to include “durable relationships”, the government is going as far as it can to mock and trivialize the traditional meaning of the term “family”. What on earth is a “durable relationship”? No one seems to know, not even the Marxist deceivers who came up with this idiotic expression.



The use of blatant absurdities

The strategic methods that Marxists use to destabilize a society often have recourse to engineered ambiguity and confusion. When the public is faced with an outcome that is difficult to visualize in advance, they generally place even more trust in authority, not less. The few who dare to stand up and challenge what they are doing are unlikely to win wider support because a common understanding of the issues involved never had a chance to develop.

The short interval between the release of the text of the two amendments and the date of the Referendum – a mere six weeks – is also part of this strategy.

How can the electorate be expected to assimilate a term like “durable relationships”? It is obviously nonsensical, a notion so broad and indeterminate that it could have a dozen plausible meanings, all defensible in law. So, unless the court of public opinion has the courage to reject the term as an outrageous affront to human reason – a blatant absurdity – there is no way to challenge it.

We have already seen how the electorate has fallen into this trap. In 2015 they were told that two men could be “married” – an obvious absurdity – but they bought the lie. In 2018, they were told that an unborn child whom the mother did not want was *not* a person, but that he or she *was* a person if the mother wanted the child. This is absurd, but that’s the point. The public has also been told that a man can become a woman, a woman can become a man, and a child at birth has no gender. Once again, the average person found it easier to accept the absurdity than to muster the courage to stand out from the crowd and challenge the lie.

**“Wisdom crieth without; she uttereth her voice in the streets...
How long, ye simple ones, will ye love simplicity?
and the scorers delight in their scorning,
and fools hate knowledge?”
- Proverbs 1:20-22**



Pope Francis, a Jesuit, is depicted alongside Marx and Engels in a cartoon published approvingly in November, 2013, by *Neues Deutschland*, the newspaper published by the German Socialist Party – the Party that governed Communist East Germany. The Communists welcome all that this Jesuit is doing to advance their cause. One of the main goals of Communism is the abolition of the family unit.

CONCLUSION

Did the Catholic Church oppose any of this? Of course not! It too is part of this Marxist alliance. The Jesuits teach and disseminate what they call 'Liberation Theology', which is nothing but Marxist ideology cloaked in a Christian veneer.

The Catholics of Ireland have been betrayed by their own religious leaders. Not one bishop, archbishop or cardinal has taken a stand against this darkness. Not one has warned their congregations of the appalling plan that the government is following, a systematic program of change that can only be described as the legalization of evil.

Members of the Protestant and Evangelical denominations have also been betrayed. Their pastors continue to preach sermons that could just as easily have been given fifty years ago. They live in a world of make-believe where evil no longer exists and where the many prophetic warnings given by Jesus are ignored. Tolerance, compromise and 'being nice' are hailed as virtues, while a God-fearing pastor who trembles at His Word is almost impossible to find.

This is why these so-called religious leaders can keep quiet while the Marxist regime in Leinster House overturns the Constitutional provisions that protect and underpin the moral and spiritual fabric of our society. This is why most of them cannot even see what the government is doing, or discern the trenchantly anti-Christian mindset that drives them.

So, when *Pravda Day* arrives, the blindingly naïve and Biblically illiterate electorate will trot along to their local polling station and do exactly what the Marxist regime has instructed them to do.

Or can we expect a ray of hope? Will we witness mass obedience on 8th March, or will a sizeable proportion of our population see through the lies, despite their betrayal by the perfidious Catholic hierarchy, the Jesuit mafia, and the phony Protestant pastors?

**“Then shall they call upon me, but I will not answer;
they shall seek me early, but they shall not find me:
for they hated knowledge, and did not choose
the fear of the LORD”
- Proverbs 1:28-29**

**Jeremy James
Ireland
February 12, 2024**

- SPECIAL REQUEST -

Time is running out...

Regular readers are encouraged to download the papers on this website for safekeeping and future reference. They may not always be available.

For an easy way to download all papers (over 370), please email me.

We are rapidly moving into an era where material of this kind may be obtained only via email. Ireland is on the brink of introducing a draconian censorship law, the first of its kind in the 'free' world, which will shut down sites like this and could result in penalties such as confiscation of property, financial fines and imprisonment for up to five years.

Readers who wish to be included on a future mailing list are welcome to contact me at the following address:-

jeremypauljames@gmail.com

For further information visit www.zephaniah.eu

Copyright Jeremy James 2024