

Medical Martial Law and Forced Vaccinations

by Jeremy James



On 18th March, both President Trump and the UK Prime Minister, Boris Johnson, claimed that their respective countries were at war. The enemy, we are led to believe, is the so-called Coronavirus.

They are correct about the war, but the aggressors are a cabal of world leaders, financiers and large corporations, while the hapless ‘enemy’ is the bulk of humanity, especially the populations of Europe and the United States.

De facto Censorship

The Prime Minister (or Taoiseach) of Ireland, Leo Varadkar, made a televised address to the nation on March 17th, St Patrick’s Day. He spoke about the challenges posed by this deadly virus and the need for the people of Ireland to work together to combat this common enemy. The average person could have predicted virtually all that he said, except perhaps for a rather strange piece of advice which he gave towards the end:

“So please rely only on information [about the virus] from trusted sources. From Government, from the HSE [Health Service Executive], from the World Health Organization, and from the national media.”

Was he really implying that only the sources he gave could be trusted and that other sources of information were unreliable? Yes he was:

“Do not forward or share messages that are from other, unreliable sources. So much harm has already been caused by those messages – we must insulate our communities and the most vulnerable from the contagion of fear. Fear is a virus in itself.”

He was actually instructing the population of Ireland to disregard whatever they might have learned about the so-called Coronavirus from other sources, especially if it did not tally with what the government, the WHO, the health experts in Ireland, and the mainstream Irish media were saying. Seemingly if a Marxist broadsheet like *The Irish Times* makes a statement about the so-called virus, it can be trusted, but if an experienced medical professional or scientist posts a reasoned opinion online, he or she is a danger to us all.



This is censorship, nothing less. He also tried to frighten people by claiming that “much harm” has already by caused by such “messages” (opinions). What harm? The paternalistic, all-knowing state will decide what the peasants need to know. On no account must we think for ourselves or ask hard questions about a crisis that is threatening to cause immense suffering and upheaval in our country, solely on foot of the insane strategy that our government is pursuing.

Destructive strategy

Let’s be very clear about this. If the citizenry were allowed to go about their business as normal, all services and amenities would continue to be provided, employment would be largely unaffected (apart from disruptions caused by sick absences and the need to provide emergency cover), utilities would operate, shops and commercial outlets would remain open, and the economy as a whole would continue to function. Output would fall, yes, but the underlying structures on which our economy depends would remain intact.

The government’s strategy, alas, could hardly be more destructive. The fact that it fits perfectly with the international Marxist agenda is beside the point. Considered purely as a response to a health concern, what they are imposing is devoid of all logic. The virus, if its exists, will spread throughout the community no matter what steps are taken to mitigate or delay its advance. This is certainly the case if it is as contagious as the WHO has alleged.

The fear that the Taoiseach referred to is caused by two of the sources which he advised us to rely on for accurate, reliable information – namely, the government itself and the media. The scaremongering and gross exaggeration by these “sources” are obscene. At a time (17th March) when only TWO persons were alleged to have died from this supposed virus, the entire country was instructed to shut down.

TB in Ireland in the 1930s

Compare this with Ireland in the 1930s. In the 10-year period, 1930-1939, the average number of deaths per annum from TB was 3,583 (*Annual Report of the Registrar-General 1940*). [The corresponding figure for deaths from influenza was 1,243.] This was roughly 10 deaths a day, every day, for ten years. TB was a deadly, contagious disease which could strike anyone, but did the government of the day shut the country down? Was everybody required to “self-isolate” or stay at home to avoid infection? Of course not.

By the way, more than 80% of deaths from TB in the 1930s were among people in the age bracket 15 - 50.

We are also being fed speculative predictions by the government about the possible number of future cases. The Taoiseach said (17th March) that there would be 15,000 cases in Ireland by the end of March. What he did not say was that only a very small proportion of these would result in death, and that the majority of these mortalities would be among the elderly cohort of the population that would otherwise succumb in the current season to an ILI (influenza-like illness). (According to the Health Service Executive website, “In Ireland, between 200 and 500 people, mainly older people, die from flu each winter.”)



**Both the hammer and the sickle have been used
by Marxists to murder their opponents.**

This Marxist lunacy is all part of an internationally co-ordinated plan to break up the “old world order” – meaning the commercial and industrial power of Europe and the US – and replace it with what the Elite (the ultra-rich 0.1%) like to call a New World Order. The Irish government is simply marching in step with this despicable plan.

Draconian legislation

Throughout history power-hungry governments have used a national disaster of one kind or another to introduce draconian controls over the population. They claim to do this for the “good” of the nation, but they invariably involve the removal of civil liberties, censorship of some kind, restrictions on travel, and compulsory compliance with a code of behavior mandated by the government. This can include curfews, redeployment, loss of certain rights, forfeiture of assets, and so forth. Perhaps the most extreme is compulsory military service where young men are drafted in their thousands to die tragic, pointless deaths in wars contrived by the wealthy Elite.

The Danish government has already passed legislation that will enable it to order forced vaccinations. This has not been reported in the mainstream media, for obvious reasons.



The Danish Parliament voted in favor by standing.

The Local

news@thelocal.dk

@thelocaldenmark

13 March 2020 | 10:46 CET+01:00

Denmark's parliament on Thursday night unanimously passed an emergency coronavirus law which gives health authorities powers to force testing, treatment and quarantine with the backing of the police.

Only 95 of the 179 members of the Danish parliament were present for the vote, but the emergency law was passed unanimously by those in attendance. The Danish Minister for Health is reported as saying, “I was touched when I saw the whole Parliament standing up and voting for this.”

Under this law, which has alarmed many Danes, it will be an offense, not just to refuse to take the vaccine, but to refuse to take the test! Thankfully, at least one highly obnoxious provision was deleted from the legislation before it was passed. This would have allowed the police to enter private homes without a court order if they suspected that an infected person might be present. It says a great deal about the totalitarian mindset that is driving the New World Order that such a vile provision was even considered.

Forced vaccinations

We would urge readers to consider what the Danish politicians have just done, since governments all over the world are almost certain to take similar steps in the near future (We will discuss the UK in a moment). The national police in each country will be authorized to assist medical personnel to forcibly administer vaccinations in any instance where a citizen refuses to be vaccinated. Parents will not have the right to prevent their children from being vaccinated.

The Center for Disease Control (CDC) in the U.S. has already travelled part way down this road. In January 2017 it introduced a revised code for dealing with “Control of Communicable Diseases.” A key feature of this code is enforced quarantine. If a state approved official “reasonably believes” that a person has a communicable disease, even though he or she is not showing any symptoms, they can immediately detain (restrain, quarantine, imprison) the individual for 72 hours without any requirement in this period to produce documentation to show that his or her detention was legal. Here is how it defines the critical term “reasonably believed” -

Reasonably believed to be infected, as applied to an individual, means specific articulable facts upon which a public health officer could reasonably draw the inference that an individual has been exposed, either directly or indirectly, to the infectious agent that causes a quarantinable communicable disease, as through contact with an infected person or an infected person’s bodily fluids, a contaminated environment, or through an intermediate host or vector, and that as a consequence of the exposure, the individual is or may be harboring in the body the infectious agent of that quarantinable communicable disease.

The definition hinges on “specific articulable facts”, namely relevant facts cited at the time by the health officer concerned. When the CDC sought independent views on the draft code during its preparation, at least one commentator expressed concern about this definition. Here is how the CDC responded:

One commenter stated that the *Reasonably believed to be infected, as applied to an individual* definition allows for apprehension, quarantine, or isolation based solely on reasonable inferences that the person was exposed somehow or in some way to infectious agents. HHS/CDC disagrees because as stated in the definition reasonable inferences may only be drawn from “specific articulable facts” that an individual has been exposed to an infectious agent such as through “contact with an infected person or an infected person’s bodily fluids, a contaminated environment, or through an intermediate host or vector.” Thus, HHS/CDC disagrees that this standard does not comport with standard public health practice.

If the health officer insists that his “inferences” are soundly based, the individual MUST comply. After all, he may have passed through a “contaminated environment” at some stage in the previous 2-3 weeks. In the strange, malevolent world of the so-called Coronavirus, just about anywhere could be defined as a “contaminated environment.” Remember, an environment or location could be deemed contaminated even though no-one in the locality had shown any symptoms. If only one person tested positive in that locality, but showed no symptoms, it would enable the health authorities to claim that many hundreds of people were potentially contaminated and thus quarantinable, even if they were in the “precommunicable stage” [We will come to that term in a moment].

No apparent right to a judicial review

It is not clear what rights a person would have if detained (imprisoned) in this way. He or she might not even be allowed to phone their location to a spouse, lawyer, or next of kin. At the end of the 72 hour period, the authorities would have to present him or her with a legal document showing that their continued detention was lawful. Given the definitions used in the code, the individual would appear to have no grounds for a judicial review if the state continued to maintain that he or she was “reasonably” deemed to still pose a health threat to the community.

The "precommunicable stage"

The term "precommunicable stage," as it applies to the individual, is a very disturbing one. It embraces anybody who shows no symptoms whatever and who may only have become "infected" in the past two hours, say. Such a person would be deemed, medically speaking, to be in the "precommunicable stage", meaning he or she could not, as yet, pass the disease to another person. By any definition (except those used by the CDC) such a person is no danger to anyone, but not according to the regulations.

Here is how they define "precommunicable stage" -

Precommunicable stage means the stage beginning upon an individual's earliest opportunity for exposure to an infectious agent and ending upon the individual entering or reentering the communicable stage of the disease or, if the individual does not enter the communicable stage, the latest date at which the individual could reasonably be expected to have the potential to enter or reenter the communicable stage.

Some commentators tried to get the CDC to remove this provision, but without success. Here is how the CDC responded:

HHS/CDC disagrees with both comments. For instance, a patient diagnosed with multidrug-resistant or extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis who is not currently infectious, but who has not been adequately treated and is thus at high risk for relapse would be considered to be in the "precommunicable stage" of the disease and pose a direct threat to the public's health.

Note how useful the term "precommunicable stage" has become! It allows the CDC to place patently harmless cases in the same category as multidrug-resistant sufferers of TB. Incredibly, by means of these clever definitions, just about anybody can be deemed to "pose a direct threat to the public's health".

These revised regulations were introduced in January 2017. There is no doubt that a more stringent version could shortly be released on foot of the massive wave of fear generated by so-called Covid-19.

The Totalitarian UK *Coronavirus Act 2020*


The UK has just passed legislation – the Coronavirus Act 2020, given Royal Assent on 25 March – which gives a dark foretaste of what will happen in the US and other countries in the near future. Rather than cite excerpts from the legislation itself, we will quote instead from the *Explanatory Notes* [19 March] which accompanied the Bill. Such *Notes* express in layman’s terms the intended purpose of various provisions and are normally easier to understand than the legislation itself. However, bear in mind that the *Explanatory Notes* will not reveal the stealth powers concealed in the legislation or clarify deliberately ambiguous provisions – which the police and the courts will interpret to their advantage at the appropriate time.

We’ll begin with a fairly straightforward provision which illustrates just how macabre these ‘Emergency Powers’ really are:

“25 Further provisions will temporarily amend current provisions in respect of defendants and prisoners with a mental health condition.”

This may seem fairly innocuous until you realize that ANYONE can be a “defendant”, namely a person facing a criminal charge but not yet judged, found guilty, and sentenced in a court of law. He is “a person accused of a crime” in the following provision:

“156 Patients involved in the criminal justice system are provided for in paragraphs 5 to 8. Paragraph 5 extends the period for which a person accused of a crime can be remanded to hospital under sections 35 and 36 of the MHA [*Mental Health Act*], by removing the rule that a person cannot be remanded for more than 12 weeks in total. It will remain the case that a person cannot be remanded to hospital for more than 28 days at a time. Paragraph 6 applies to various sections of the MHA which allow a court to send an accused or convicted person to hospital. It provides that, in certain circumstances, courts can make such orders on the advice of one doctor rather than two.”



This provision allows the state to place on remand [detention / imprisonment] for an unlimited period any person accused of a crime, where that person is deemed by the state to have some form of mental impairment. This can be done on the advice or say-so of just one medical doctor. The 28-day rule can be circumvented, it would seem, by releasing the defendant and then detaining them a second time under the same provision. However, as we shall see, 28 days is more than enough time for the authorities to permanently harm or dispose of an undesirable person.

Remember, we are speaking here of an innocent person. He (or she) has not yet been found guilty of a crime. He could even have been arrested as a member of a group, perhaps an online chat group, and may have no idea what he is supposed to have done that might constitute a crime.

The *Explanatory Notes* then go on specify what the state can do to that person while on “remand”:

160 Schedule 8 contains temporary modifications of the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003, the Criminal Procedure (Scotland) Act 1995 and related subordinate legislation, to provide measures including:

d. Enabling a mental health officer (MHO) to apply for a **Compulsory Treatment Order** (CTO) under section 63 of the 2003 Act founded on only one mental health report, provided the MHO considers that it would be impractical or involve delay to obtain two mental health reports.

While this applies to Scotland, it reveals that the legislation enables certain persons to be medicated against their will while in detention, on the advice of only one medical doctor.

These powers ought to horrify anyone who believes the UK is still a democracy. An innocent person can be incarcerated and drugged by the state without any judicial procedure or legal representation, and then (if the Gosport protocol is followed), allowed to die of dehydration while on remand. [See our paper #167 which explains, with examples, how this vile protocol works.] His or her remains can then be cremated in order to “expedite the disposal of bodies.”

Removal of Safeguards

Here are a few provisions which reveal how many legal and procedural safeguards are being removed (temporarily abated) to enable the state, with virtually no oversight or accountability, to dispose of thousands of innocent people (should it decide to do so):

38 In England and Wales, the Births and Deaths Registration Act 1953 places a responsibility on the deceased's doctor to provide a medical certificate giving, to the best of the doctor's knowledge and belief the cause of death. This medical certificate is given to the registrar and used to record the cause of death in the death registration. If the doctor is not able to sign the medical certificate, for whatever reason, the death has to be referred to the coroner for investigation. The Bill will simplify this and provide more flexibility in an emergency situation by enabling a doctor who may not have seen the deceased to certify the cause of death without the death being referred to the coroner...

41 There is a legislative framework across the four nations which sets out when coroners need to be notified of a death and what medical certificates are required before a cremation to take place. This Bill enables the streamlining of some of those processes by temporarily modifying much of existing legislation...

45 In Scotland, a review of death certificates is established under the Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011. The review system requires that a random selection of death certificates is independently audited by a team of medical reviewers. Where a certificate is being reviewed the death cannot be registered and so funerals cannot proceed until the review has been completed. The Bill will enable Scottish Ministers to suspend this system if, in consultation with the review service, this is considered appropriate to free up medical personnel and expedite the disposal of bodies.

46 The Burial and Cremation (Scotland) Act 2016 places duties place on cremation authorities, funeral directors and local authorities to take steps to trace and contact relatives of deceased persons to make arrangements for the collection or disposal of ashes. The Bill will suspend these duties and the relevant bodies will be under a duty to retain the ashes. Once the provisions are re-instated the original duties to ascertain the wishes of the family will also be re-instated in relation to any retained ashes. *[Note: This provision conveniently glosses over the fact that all who die in detention will be cremated. No autopsies will be possible. The death certificate will be signed by a doctor who never saw the victim, either living or dead.]*

**Silkie Carlo, Director of Big Brother Watch,
in *spiked-online.com*, 25 March 2020:**

Carlo: The standout thing in the Coronavirus Bill is its detention powers. The bill confers arbitrary detention powers to the police, immigration officers and public-health officials. They can detain and isolate ‘potentially’ infectious people. Potentially infectious people can be literally anyone. As we have seen from the government reports, up to 80 per cent of the public could be infected with coronavirus eventually, many of whom will be asymptomatic. There is no explanation as to how the authorities will determine whether someone is potentially infected. For all intents and purposes, it allows for arbitrary and indefinite detention. Any member of the public, including children, could be forcibly detained, isolated, quarantined in an as yet unidentified location. It also enables authorities to forcibly take biological samples from people for testing.

The potential for abuse is extreme. In countries like China, Iran, Israel and Russia, authorities are already tracking individuals’ phones to make sure that they comply with quarantines. This is something that’s particularly relevant to us in the UK now that we are basically on lockdown. We are allowed out once per day, and only for specific purposes. There are questions about how that’s going to be enforced, and I hope it is going to be in a proportionate way. But we have seen phone tracking used in other countries as part of that. The extraordinary thing about this is, in the UK, we wouldn’t even know about it if the government was doing this because our surveillance powers are so extreme – they are completely covert and completely secret. If someone working at a telco like O2 or another network were to disclose that they were tracking us, that person could go to prison for a year.

Eliminating the opposition

The Illuminist wing of the British establishment used the First World War to kill off the sons of influential families who were opposed to what the Elite has planned for the UK and Europe. The Germans and the French did the same. They were assigned to regiments and units which could then be deployed, in due course, to a fatal assignment in the trenches. The killing fields of Passchendaele for instance, where hundreds of thousands of innocent youths were slaughtered by their generals, had a long-term strategic purpose. Anyone who escaped a fatal engagement with the enemy could be handily despatched by “friendly fire”. This was all part of the stealth program to ‘de-Christianize’ the UK and hand total control to the devotees of Lucifer.

The Coronavirus Act is designed to confer ‘emergency powers’ on the British government for a period of 2 years (extendable for 6 months). Apparently it is considered necessary to turn the UK into a de facto police state in order to tackle an alleged flu epidemic! What is worse, the majority of the British people seem to be willing to let this happen. The small number who might protest can be rounded up, detained as potential carriers of the virus, deemed mentally ill, drugged, allowed to die from dehydration, and then cremated. The doctor who signs their death certificate will not be required to see the body. Their families will be sent a small container of ashes as a token of the state’s tender concern for yet another tragic victim of the so-called coronavirus.

Forced vaccinations and ‘V-Day’

There have been reports in the media that an effective vaccine against Coronavirus would take at least 18 months to develop and test. This is nonsense. Many vaccines are already under development and could be approved through a fast-track process for use on the general population within three months. The pharmaceutical industry makes the rules and can change them arbitrarily whenever it suits them. (The vaccine that will ultimately be used has very likely been mass produced already and stored in warehouses for distribution on ‘V-Day’.)



The nations are so frightened that the uptake of a new vaccine, once it becomes available, will be extremely high. There will be strong community pressure to compel everyone to receive it. Those who don’t will be accused of placing everyone else at risk. This means that, even in those countries that do not have ‘compulsory vaccination’ legislation, the stigma against those who are not vaccinated will be intense.

A layman's Summary of the Main Provisions of the Coronavirus Act 2020 [UK]

Any person, including children, can be forcibly detained and isolated by the state for any length of time. This can be done without a court order, without legal representation, and without documented medical evidence. [Some may cavil over our interpretation of the length of time involved, but the Emergency Powers are such that the state can detain anyone as often as it deems necessary.]

The state can forcibly test any person, including children, and remove samples of bodily fluid for that purpose.

It is unclear where the state plans to hold detainees, but it could be hundreds of miles from their home and family. There will likely be no visitation rights, no independent supervision of the care provided, no legal inspection of housing conditions or medical treatment, and little or no documented proof that one's continued detention is medically justified.

The state can impose lockdown conditions on the entire population or on such segments of the population as it decides. Public gatherings, no matter how small, can be made illegal and those who violate this rule can be arrested. It will therefore be impossible for any group – such as a civil rights organization – to protest in the street against this draconian piece of legislation or even to organize indoor meetings to discuss its implications.

The surveillance powers of the state are greatly increased. Whether or not the Act allows the police to enter one's home without a court warrant is unclear. Some of the powers granted to the authorities under the Act are so vaguely worded and so general in nature that householders may not be able to refuse entry without violating some provision or other of the Act.

The Act does not appear to provide for forcible vaccination, but it does greatly increase the power of the state to deem a person mentally incapable and to forcibly medicate them. Relatives of detainees may not be aware that this is happening and would appear to have no right legally to intervene or to request an independent medical assessment.

The state has the power to cremate any person who dies while in detention. It will not be possible to request an autopsy where foul play or maltreatment are suspected. The doctor who signs the death certificate does not need to have seen the victim at any time, alive or dead.

Current provisions in law regarding culpable responsibility and duty of care, as they apply to health service workers, are greatly relaxed. In effect, anyone treating, supervising or monitoring a person in detention is indemnified under the Act.

Landlords, for example, may refuse to take tenants who cannot produce proof of vaccination. Schools and colleges may refuse entry to any child or student who is not vaccinated. Many employers may impose a similar requirement, and admission to a nursing home, crèche or day-care facility could be contingent upon receipt of the vaccine.

What will the vaccine contain?

Given that the Coronavirus is almost certainly an imaginary pathogen, the vaccine ingredients will play no role whatever in the prevention of disease. They will contribute rather to advancing the two main goals of the New World Order, namely population control and population reduction (“herding” and “culling”).

We noted in an earlier paper (#175) that vaccines have been used on a trial basis in certain African countries to sterilize young girls by secretly including a contraceptive hormone in its serum. Some of these trials were conducted more than 40 years ago, so we can be sure that this program of stealth intervention is far advanced and probably includes substances which are capable of affecting the human organism in ways that promote the two main goals of the New World Order. Some of these substances may go to work immediately, while others may need an environmental trigger, such as EMR of a certain frequency, to set them in motion.



Aaron Russo [left] and Nicholas Rockefeller

Vaccines also offer an ideal way to secretly introduce tiny pieces of technology into the recipient’s body. Aaron Russo, who made an excellent documentary in 2006 exposing the plan to impose a ‘New World Order’ – and who was murdered for doing so – related details of a conversation he had with Nicholas Rockefeller. Russo had worked out many of the scams that the Elite were using to fleece the masses and keep them enslaved by debt, but he had not figured out what exactly they were trying to achieve in the long run. He knew they wanted a One World Government and a One World Currency in electronic form, but there seemed to be a piece missing. Rockefeller told him what it was: “The goal is get everybody chipped.”

By this he meant the insertion into every person on earth of an RFID chip which could be used to track their every movement in real time, hold all of their personal records, store all of their financial data, verify their identity, and facilitate cashless payments.



[Above] Graphic used by Accenture to promote the ID2020 Alliance.



ID2020 Summit, 2016, held at the UN HQ in New York.

The World Economic Forum, the Elite group that meets annually at Davos, has approved a major program to carry this out, known as ID2020. It is quite possible that the Covid-19 vaccines will be used to ensure that everyone, willing or unwilling, will end up with one of these multi-purpose chips. The dark science of herding and culling will have entered a whole new era.

**“The wicked plotteth against the just,
and gnasheth upon him with his teeth.
The Lord shall laugh at him:
for he seeth that his day is coming.
The wicked have drawn out the sword,
and have bent their bow, to cast down the poor and needy,
and to slay such as be of upright conversation [conduct]”.**

- Psalm 37:12-14

Finally, please listen to this hymn – it will encourage and revive the most troubled soul:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q-jMSs6FqaI>

**Jeremy James
Ireland
March 28, 2020**

- SPECIAL REQUEST -

Regular readers are encouraged to download the papers on this website for safekeeping and future reference. They may not always be available. Papers for each year from 2009 to 2019 may also be downloaded in a single file, or possibly two, from www.archive.org (Use search term ‘Jeremy James’).

We are rapidly moving into an era where material of this kind may be obtained only via email. Readers who wish to be included on a future mailing list are welcome to contact me at the following email address:- jeremypauljames@gmail.com.

For further information visit www.zephaniah.eu

Copyright Jeremy James 2020