

Worldwide Vaccine Experiment on Human Guinea-Pigs

by Jeremy James



“Let’s try it and see.” That’s the kind of statement we expect to hear from teenagers, especially those of a restless nature. But we don’t expect to hear it from adults, at least not where their health is concerned.

Alas, Ireland, like most nations, is preparing to replace its new national motto, “We’re all in this together,” with a more up-to-date version: “Let’s try it and see.”

Even stolid, down-to-earth types, who never tried anything new in their lives without first checking it out thoroughly, are about to embark on the great human guinea-pig experiment.

What else can we call it? The entire population – bar a few hardened sceptics – is about to accept an untested vaccine comprising unknown constituents, capable of producing long-term irreversible effects, all for the sole purpose of “getting back to normal.”

Is normality worth the risk? A great many people seem to think so.

The proposed ‘New Normality’ will not be Normal

Before we go any further, we should ask whether a vaccinated world will enjoy the old normality? Can we reasonably expect everything to go back to the way it was?

Actually, we can’t. That’s the first shock.

The institutions that are steering the international Covid vaccination program have made it quite clear that the ‘normality’ that existed in January 2020 is gone forever. Many high-profile figures have been explicit about this. They claim that new viruses will continually present themselves – whether as viral mutations or in other ways – and, for that reason, state-controlled vaccine regulation will be a standard part of life for evermore.

This implies that the state will continue to prescribe what society can and cannot do, simply to “contain” a virus which may or may not exist. Several leading spokesmen for the ‘Covid response’ team, such as Anthony Fauci and Bill Gates, have suggested that annual vaccination programs may be necessary to protect against future contagion.

The World Economic Forum – the world leaders and multi-billionaires who meet annually at Davos in Switzerland – has actually welcomed the Covid pandemic as a golden opportunity to significantly restructure the way the world economy works. They are calling it *The Great Reset*. What they envisage by this is radically different from the “normality” that the public is expecting to see if they take the vaccine.



Klaus Schwab, public ‘face’ of *The Great Reset*



This book, by Klaus Schwab and Thierry Malleret, was published simultaneously in several languages in July 2020. This meant it had been written, edited, translated and sent for publication in May-June, if not earlier – it is 280 pages long.

Is it possible that Schwab *et al* knew about the Covid crisis in advance? Surely not. That would mean the ‘pandemic’ was planned.

Let’s start by accepting the official narrative

We will set aside the “conspiratorial” aspects for a moment and examine what the vaccine will mean for society if everything the various governments are saying is actually true. Basically, the case for a worldwide uptake of the vaccine is as follows:

The disease is highly contagious and poses a serious ongoing threat to the health of society, with both a high morbidity and high mortality rate. Safe and effective vaccines have been developed in a short period of time and, once administered to the vast majority of the population, will provide a high level of protection from the virus. This will allow everything to return to normal.

This scenario, which most people are prepared to accept, is based on several assumptions, all of which are questionable:

1. The disease is highly contagious.
2. The disease poses a serious threat to society.
3. The vaccines will be safe.
4. The vaccines will be effective.
5. The vaccines will restore normality.

As we have noted, the “new normal” will be very different from the old normal. The vaccine will inaugurate a fundamentally new approach to public health that will embrace all nations, affecting travel, working conditions, and many forms of social and economic activity. In addition, *The Great Reset* will impact adversely on everyone, both those who take the vaccine and those who don't. So, assumption #5 is false. [See our paper #235 for an examination of the damage that the ‘great reset’ – *La Grande Réinitialisation* – will inflict on our financial security.]

We'll now look at the other four assumptions.

**“They conceive mischief, and bring forth vanity,
and their belly prepareth deceit.”**

– Job 15:35

The assumptions are built on sand and flawed statistics

Assumption #1 asks us to accept that the virus is highly contagious. It ought to be obvious to just about everyone that this is simply not the case. Statistics from dozens of countries prove conclusively that the virus is actually less contagious than a seasonal flu.

Look at the excess mortality charts in the following pages for Denmark, Finland, Greece, Hungary, Norway, and Portugal and see if you can tell when a ‘pandemic’ occurred in the past 3 years!

Assumption #2 is also refuted by the official figures. Covid-related morbidity and mortality are less than that of seasonal flu. Rumors that persons infected can suffer longer-term effects are not supported by any scientific evidence. In short, Covid – in the form we have seen in 2020 – is not a threat to the health and well-being of society.

Scaremongering has played a major role to date, heightening public fears about the potential impact of the virus. One of the most effective scare tactics is the allegation that the virus will mutate and that, in its mutated state, it will be even more potent and even more contagious. Again, there is no scientific evidence whatever to support this opinion. It is well known that, generally speaking, pathogens which mutate become weaker, not stronger. They also tend to become less contagious.



Denmark (excess mortality 2017-2020): When was the 'pandemic'?

To the extent that it could be attributed to Covid, the spike in deaths that several countries experienced in March/April, 2020, was fully consistent with the known behavior of viruses. There was nothing about Covid that warranted pessimistic speculation about its potential impact in the longer term. As many respected epidemiologists have stated, both at the time the pandemic began and in the months that followed, the political response was grossly exaggerated and that, given what we have long known about pandemics, the restrictions imposed were entirely unjustified.

Assumption #3 asks us to believe that the vaccines will be safe. Even if we were to believe that the pharmaceutical industry invariably develops vaccines that meet the highest standards of scientific excellence – which we know is untrue – we are still left asking how it is possible to develop and test a set of vaccines in only a few months, using only a small number of human subjects, and be certain that the vaccine is safe? Normally a vaccine requires several years of careful preparation and testing to develop. Vaccines are also tested extensively on suitable laboratory animals before human testing even begins, but this step is being completely ignored! What is more, the human testing is meant to cover all categories of recipient, not just healthy adults. These products have not been tested on persons over age 70, pregnant women or young children, persons with a standard chronic health condition, persons on long-term medication, persons with serious allergies, or persons with an under-performing immune system.

**“In whose hands is mischief, and
their right hand is full of bribes.”**

– Psalm 26:10

mRNA vaccines

We are also faced with a major dilemma regarding the longer-term effects of these vaccines. Some of them are using mRNA technology, the implications of which are not fully understood. Vaccine specialists frankly admit that they cannot be certain that the biochemical changes made by mRNA activity will not have unintended consequences. They simply don't know.

This is a matter of grave concern. Our genetic structure is astoundingly complex. It is not possible to tinker with any part of it and not affect a multiplicity of biochemical processes. No scientist can be certain that the changes produced will only be those he wants to make, or that the changes he wants to make will not themselves have harmful side effects. By taking risks with the integrity of their genetic code, as well as the complex processes which affect the way their code is passed on to their children, recipients of these vaccines are stepping into the unknown. There is no other way to put it.



Finland (excess mortality 2017-2020): When was the 'pandemic'?

Assumption #4 concerns vaccine effectiveness. The pharmaceutical industry is unable to confirm that the vaccines will actually work. Given that the Covid pathogen has never been isolated and its exact genetic sequence established, this is not surprising. This helps to explain why several different vaccines are being developed. The industry is conducting a medical experiment on the entire population of each country, which effectively amounts to a medical experiment on mankind as a whole!

Only time will tell which vaccine is effective, the kinds of side effects that arise, and whether or not one or more of the proposed vaccines is actually harmful.

We can see, therefore, that all five assumptions are built on sand. How is the public to have any confidence in a program which, even when the most favourable assumptions are made, the potential outcome is so uncertain and fraught with danger?

Remember, we are not at this stage ascribing bad faith to any of the principal players involved – our respective governments, the World Health Organization, the pharmaceutical industry, the CDC, the medical academics, the national medical establishment, or the many shadowy organizations on the periphery, such as the World Economic Forum or the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Yet, even when no questions are raised about the motives, credibility, competence, qualifications or integrity of the various parties involved, we still find ourselves in a perilous situation.



Greece (excess mortality 2017-2020): When was the 'pandemic'?

This should be apparent to all when we compare what our governments are doing with the ten points set out in the Nuremberg Code:

The Nuremberg Code

The Nuremberg Code, which was first promulgated in 1947, is a recognized part of International Law. It sets out the minimum standards that must be observed when conducting "Permissible Medical Experiments" – see our comments after each of the ten points:

1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.

The government has not indicated that recipients of the vaccine in Ireland (or elsewhere) will be told that they are part of a medical experiment.

2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.

Many eminent medical professionals have stated that Covid-19 does not pose a risk that would warrant a national vaccine program.

3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment.

The proposed vaccines have not been extensively tested on suitable animal subjects. The first experimental subjects are all human.

4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.

Through its extensive fearmongering, where the dangers posed by Covid-19 have been greatly exaggerated, governments have deliberately used "mental suffering" to coerce the population and achieve a high level of participation in this vast medical experiment.

5. No experiment should be conducted where there is an *a priori* reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.

There have been many reports of severe adverse reactions to some of the vaccines. The UK MHRA has even commissioned a software system (based on AI technology) to enable it to cope with the expected high level of reported adverse reactions.

6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.

The risks entailed by this nationwide medical experiment exceed, by several orders of magnitude, the expected benefits.

7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.

The pharmaceutical industry, which is exercising near total control over the vaccine program, has failed to mitigate the risks to recipients by not conducting comprehensive trials using suitable laboratory animals, as well as extensive clinical trials on human subjects. There are also reports that trials on human subjects have been confined largely to healthy adults, to the exclusion of important categories of prospective recipients such as persons over age 70, young children, persons with a standard chronic health condition, persons with an under-performing immune system, etc.

8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.

There are reports that many of the people who will administer the vaccine will not be suitably qualified to gauge its impact on a recipient, to monitor its effects, to evaluate their seriousness, or to intervene speedily and effectively.


9. During the course of the experiment the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end if he has reached the physical or mental state where continuation of the experiment seems to him to be impossible.

We understand that experimental subjects (recipients) will be told that, if the vaccine is administered in two shots, a few weeks apart, and they react badly to the first shot, that they must nonetheless return and receive the second shot.

10. During the course of the experiment the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgment required of him that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.

As far as we can determine, no medical professional of standing, approved by the government, has alerted the public to the experimental nature of the program. Neither has the public been informed whether or not emergency contingency procedures are in place in case the program has to be terminated abruptly.

After a whistle-stop tour of the Ten Points we can see that the proposed vaccine program is a blatant violation of the Nuremberg Code. One doesn't need to be a lawyer or a medical professional to see this. The facts speak for themselves.



Hungary (excess mortality 2017-2020): When was the 'pandemic'?

Why we must listen to 'conspiracy theorists'

At this stage we must ask much deeper and more searching questions. If a course of action is as fraught with risk as the proposed vaccine program, then why are our governments going down this road? It makes no sense. Equally one must ask why the World Health Organization, an international body that is mandated to protect against potential disasters of this kind, is advocating the same course? Again, it makes no sense. What is more, the mainstream media in nearly every country is pushing strongly for a national vaccine program, with virtually no discussion of the many risks involved.

All of these would appear to be following an agenda. If so, what might it be?

This is where we invite our readers to cross a bridge and ask the very questions that politicians and the mainstream media vilify as "conspiracy theory." Many people have great difficulty crossing this bridge, even when all the evidence indicates that they should do so. It means taking a hard **Biblical** look at what's happening and having the courage to proceed from there.

**"The entrance of thy words giveth light;
it giveth understanding unto the simple."**

– Psalm 119:130

Yes, Biblical. The mainstream media will never use that word because they hate it. By using the term “conspiracy theory” instead, they want to throw the public off track. If they were to suggest at any time that world affairs should be examined carefully through the lens of God’s Word, they would lose control of the narrative. The only “story” they want the public to believe is the one which they themselves – and their billionaire owners – have approved.



Some of the speakers on the video, ‘Message from World Doctors Alliance’

So what does God’s Word say about the great worldwide “crisis” that we have been discussing? The media would have us believe that it says nothing, but it actually says a great deal. It tells us how it arose, who is behind it, what the perpetrators want to achieve, and where it will eventually lead.

George Soros: “Right, who’s next?”



**Guinea-pigs caught in the vaccine trap.
(The collective noun for guinea-pigs is a “herd.”)**

In the following section we will assume the reader has little knowledge of the Bible. As much as we would like to show, using copious quotations, how the existing state of affairs has been foretold, we will confine our observations to the broad themes and prophetic milestones found in God’s Word. For those who want a short summary of what’s coming upon the earth, drawn directly from His Word, we would refer them to Chapter 24 of the Gospel of Matthew.

After man rebelled against God, the human race divided for a time into two groups. One group, the line of Seth, sought to become reconciled to God. The other, the line of Cain, was determined to establish a kingdom on earth which man alone controlled. The godly line got smaller and smaller, while the rebellious line grew in power and influence until, in its vaunted imagination and all-consuming lust, it dragged all of mankind – bar eight souls – into the depths of depravity. The LORD decided to preserve the eight righteous men and women who remained and destroy the rest in a catastrophic worldwide flood.

The Flood, a worldwide catastrophe

Not long after the Flood, when man began once again to multiply upon the earth, another rebellious line emerged, namely that of Nimrod. A series of civilizations flourished through this line, culminating in the first of the four ‘world kingdoms’ described by God in the Book of Daniel. It took control of Babylon around 609 BC and fell to the Persians – the second ‘world kingdom’ – in 539 BC. The Greeks followed in 331 BC. The fourth kingdom, which many historians date from the conquest of Egypt by the Romans at the Battle of Actium in 31 BC, marked the transition from the Hellenistic to the Roman period. This fourth kingdom has prevailed ever since.



Some of the speakers on the video, ‘Message from World Doctors Alliance’

The sack of Rome in 410 AD by the invading Huns greatly weakened the fourth world kingdom for a time. However, the aristocratic families that ruled Italy continued to exercise their influence on the world stage through commerce, trade, and the Roman Catholic religion. They began to rebuild their shrunken empire and in 800 AD the Pope, Leo III, crowned Charlemagne as ‘Emperor of the Romans’ in the old basilica of St Peter’s in Rome. Significantly, this monarch, ruler of the ‘Holy Roman Empire’, had his capital or seat of power in Aachen, Germany.


We don’t intend to survey the history of Europe but merely wish to show that the families who founded and maintained the early Roman Empire have continued to hold and exercise power on the world stage to the present day. The skills and techniques that are essential for the secret control of nations were taught and passed down by these families from one generation to the next. They suffered a major setback with the Reformation, but immediately set in train a series of subversive programs designed to destroy Biblical Christianity, dominate the world financial system, and impose on all nations a totalitarian system of world government.

These families are obsessed with power and control. Over time they have acquired staggering wealth, far in excess of the paltry figures given in the Forbes Rich List. Some of them are trillionaires. Through the secretive and highly strategic use of this incredible wealth they have consolidated their hold over most of mankind. Over the past fifty years or so they have infiltrated and ‘bought’ all governments, filling key positions with puppets who gladly do their bidding. This is why it was possible to shut down the entire world in 2020, severely damaging all economies and imposing a draconian system of social control. This is the ‘lockstep’ system described in a Rockefeller report of 2010 – see our paper #226.

They HATE Christianity

They worship and serve the Prince of this world, the ‘god’ of Freemasonry and Kabbalah. They know that by serving him they can continue to receive his supernatural support, thereby expanding their empire and increasing their stranglehold over humanity. The Bible tells us all of this, dear reader, but man in his pride has ignored the warning!

They appear to accept that Lucifer is using them for his own purpose, namely to take control of the entire earth and give it to his ‘son’, the Antichrist. For this reason they HATE Christianity. They could have established absolute political control over all nations long before now were it not for the fact that faith-filled Christians have access to a power which they cannot oppose. While Christians collectively remain true to God and pray to Him for His protection and support, they are invincible.



Some of the speakers on the video, ‘Message from World Doctors Alliance’

They hate Christian prayer. They hate Christian worship. It is the ONLY thing they fear. This is why the Freemasons, the Kabbalists, the Marxists, and the Jesuits, as well as the leading generational Luciferian families, have been working so hard over the past four hundred years or more to undermine Christianity. We only have to look at the various churches today to see how successful this campaign of corruption has been!


It is a mark of the power of true Christian prayer that, even after they have filled the leadership of all Christian churches with their own agents – deceitful hypocrites who worship the god of this world and are doing all they can to lead their flocks to perdition – these Elite families want all trace of true Christianity erased from the earth. They simply can’t risk leaving a few candles here and a few candles there.

We have now arrived at the point where the Luciferians are able to use the civil laws of each and every country to shut down their churches. In the past they had to resort to religious and ecclesiastical prohibitions for this purpose, but with mixed results. Today the full force of civil law is being directed at faith-filled believers everywhere. And it is happening all across the world – by design. This is ‘lockstep’ in action.

The ‘Covid’ crisis is one of their most devious and most daring inventions to date, enabling the Elite to advance toward the final phase of their plan. They know we can’t worship God on Satan’s terms. If Christians cannot assemble in a respectful way before God, offering heartfelt prayer and worship without the encumbrance of blasphemous distractions like face masks, handwashing, and ‘social distancing’, then we are not worshipping in a Biblical way, as God intended.

This has never happened before. It has taken the Children of Wickedness – the descendants of Nimrod and the families of ancient Rome – nearly 2,000 years to reach this point. They have had many ups and downs along the way, but they have finally arrived at this strategic milestone. They now have the political power, not only to shut down our churches and collapse the financial system, but to inject everyone on earth with their poison of choice.

Do you seriously think they will miss this opportunity? Do you seriously think their vaccines have ANYTHING to do with our health and well-being?




Norway (excess mortality 2017-2020): When was the ‘pandemic’?

The Book of Revelation and Bible prophecy

The Book of Revelation sketches out a scenario filled with the militarized trauma that only a totalitarian world government could devise. The Four Horsemen do not appear out of nowhere. It took many years of planning and manipulation to create a situation where so much death and destruction could be unleashed all at once. This “planning and manipulation” has been under way for some time, preparing for that fatal hour when, virtually without warning, a great darkness descends upon the earth.

“After this I saw in the night visions, and behold a fourth beast, dreadful and terrible, and strong exceedingly; and it had great iron teeth: it devoured and brake in pieces, and stamped the residue with the feet of it: and it was diverse from all the beasts that were before it; and it had ten horns.” – Daniel 10:7

The LORD in His Mercy has told us that this will happen. His Son, Jesus of Nazareth, asked that we watch for the signs and not be caught sleeping. We are warned repeatedly that grievous wolves, loyal servants of Satan, are working together – **conspiring** – to destroy the church and clear a path for the Mystery of Iniquity. Their work has advanced to the stage where they can now implement a truly sinister scheme, embracing all nations, to pollute our blood with *pharmakeia* or sorcery.



Portugal (excess mortality 2017-2020): When was the 'pandemic'?

Twelve Reasons NOT to take the Covid vaccine

We set out below a number of compelling reasons why nobody – Christian, pagan or atheist – can expect to benefit from the vaccines offered by the architects of the 'New World Order'. Since most of these reasons are both grave and disturbing, we have not tried to arrange them in order of importance.

1. No viral isolate

The CDC published, as required, a detailed document stating the steps that needed to be taken to establish whether or not a person had contracted Covid-19.

The document is called "CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel". It was first issued on 4 February 2020 and has undergone five revisions, the last of which was published on 1 December 2020 (A history of its revisions is supplied on p.53 of the latest version).

[Link: <https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download>]

Under the heading 'Intended Use' on p.3 it states:

The CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel is a real-time RT-PCR test intended for the qualitative detection of nucleic acid from SARS-CoV-2 in upper and lower respiratory specimens (such as nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swabs, sputum, lower respiratory tract aspirates, bronchoalveolar lavage, and nasopharyngeal wash/aspirate or nasal aspirate) collected from individuals suspected of COVID-19 by their healthcare provider¹.

The document is a formal scientific statement of the diagnostic method that is used to determine whether or not a person has the Covid-19 virus. Every test in the United States, and by convention in the rest of world, is required to conform with this diagnostic procedure.

On p.42 of the most recent revised version, under the heading ‘Performance Characteristics’, it states:

The analytical sensitivity of the rRT-PCR assays contained in the CDC 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel were determined in Limit of Detection studies. Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV were available for CDC use at the time the test was developed and this study conducted, assays designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA (N gene; GenBank accession: MN908947.2) of known titer (RNA copies/μL) spiked into a diluent consisting of a suspension of human A549 cells and viral transport medium (VTM) to mimic clinical specimen. Samples were extracted using the QIAGEN EZ1 Advanced XL instrument and EZ1 DSP Virus Kit (Cat# 62724) and manually with the QIAGEN DSP Viral RNA Mini Kit (Cat# 61904). Real-Time RT-PCR assays were performed using the Thermo Fisher Scientific TaqPath™ 1-Step RT-qPCR Master Mix, CG (Cat# A15299) on the Applied Biosystems™ 7500 Fast Dx Real-Time PCR Instrument according to the CDC 2019-nCoV Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel instructions for use.

Please note the underlined statement very carefully since it contradicts everything that the public has been led to believe regarding (a) the identification of the virus and (b) the accuracy of the test designed to establish whether or not the virus is present in a tissue sample. It states

“Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV were available for CDC use at the time the test was developed and this study conducted, assays designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA (N gene; GenBank accession: MN908947.2) of known titer (RNA copies/μL) spiked into a diluent consisting of a suspension of human A549 cells and viral transport medium (VTM) to mimic clinical specimen.”

The document, at the time of its most recent revision (1 December 2020), is still unable to confirm that the virus has been isolated. Rather than working from a known isolate – a purified form of the virus – the test aims instead to find genetic sequences in the test sample which match “characterized stocks”. These are sequences which are similar to the type of virus in question, but they are not verified sequences from the virus itself.


We will return later to the sordid question of how this test was designed. For now we wish only to point out that the virus known as Covid-19 has NEVER been isolated. This means it may not even exist.

The mainstream media has never drawn attention to this astonishing fact. Even though the CDC made this admission in one of its official publications, seemingly as far back as 13 July (if not earlier), the public is still not being told that the genetic sequence of the virus has not been fully decoded and that, for this reason, fast track vaccines cannot be safely developed.

This alone is sufficient reason not to take the vaccine.

2. Independent expert opinion

The public is continually exhorted to trust the medical scientists, and in particular the virologists, who are advising the world about the existence, behavior, and treatment of Covid-19. The international response has been framed largely by the scientific pronouncements made by Dr Anthony Fauci, one of the most highly qualified virologists in the world and director of the NIAID since 1984. The public has been led to believe, almost from the beginning of the Wuhan outbreak, that there exists an overwhelming consensus within the scientific community as to the nature of Covid-19 and how it compared to previous pathogens of the same class. However, this is not true. Right from the start many eminent virologists had very different opinions and strongly advised against the course being taken by the CDC.




Some of the speakers on the video, 'Message from World Doctors Alliance'

One of these was Dr Sucharit Bhakdi, one of the most frequently cited authors in the world in the field of microbiology. He was editor-in-chief of the journal *Medical Microbiology and Immunology* from 1990 to 2012, a position normally held by only the most respected professionals. We give below some of the many scientific awards and prizes that he received during his career, as listed by Wikipedia:

- 1979 Preis der Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen
- 1980 Konstanzer Medizinischer Förderpreis
- 1987 Preis der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Hygiene und Mikrobiologie
- 1988 Dr.-Friedrich-Sasse-Preis
- 1989 Ludwig-Schunk-Preis für Humanmedizin
- 1989 Robert-Koch-Förderpreis of Clausthal-Zellerfeld
- 1991 Gay-Lussac Humboldt Prize

- 2001 Aronson Prize
- 2005 H. W. Hauss Award
- 2005 Verdienstorden des Landes Rheinland-Pfalz
- 2009 Rudolf-Schönheimer Medal

Along with his wife, Dr Karina Reiss, he published the best-selling book, *Corona, False Alarm? Facts and Figures* in German and later in an English translation:



Naturally he has been vilified by the German authorities for daring to challenge the official Covid paradigm. Few scientists of his stature have dared to step forward and ask such questions. In a live interview with Laura Ingraham of Fox News on 2nd December, he said:

This is something that we – and when I say “we” – hundreds and thousands of people, are standing up to say... “Please, all of you, sit down and think about this. Read up on this and then make up your own mind. Don’t believe things that people are telling you. Think for yourself and come to you own conclusions.”

That’s why he and his wife wrote the book, to give facts and figures and let people judge for themselves.

He was asked about the amount of science behind the CDC guidelines on social distancing and face masks. He replied, “Zero science.” All of the arguments, he said, are “absolute nonsense.”

Regarding someone of Dr Fauci’s standing, he said, “I would dare to defy him anywhere in the world, at any time.” She reminded him that Dr Fauci had the same day warned that 75 percent of the population would need to receive the vaccine in order to achieve ‘herd immunity.’ Dr Bhakdi replied: “What utter nonsense...Someone who says this has not the slightest inkling of the basics of immunology.”

When Ingraham asked, “So you believe that the Covid vaccine is not necessary?”, he replied, emphatically, “I think it’s downright dangerous. And I warn you that, if you go along these lines [and take the vaccine], you will go to your doom.”

At this point – unsurprisingly – the interview was abruptly terminated.



Laura Ingraham: “So you believe that the Covid vaccine is not necessary?”

Dr Bhakdi: “I think it’s downright dangerous.”

No experts, other than those who endorse everything that the CDC and the pharmaceutical industry are doing, are allowed to speak on the mainstream media. The public is never allowed to hear well-founded and persuasive counter-arguments. If they were they would quickly see that almost everything they are being told by the CDC is medical propaganda, not science. The more astute among them would also see that the propaganda is part of a bigger plan and that those who oppose it will be pushed aside, one way or another.

3. Exempt from liability for harm caused by their vaccines

The pharmaceutical industry accepts no liability for the harm caused by its vaccines. This is not a fact that any government wants its citizens to know. The exemption in most countries is backed by law.

If the pharmaceutical companies are so certain that their vaccines are safe, then why are they not prepared to accept liability for any harm they might cause? This ought to be a red flag for anyone with an ounce of sense.

A corporate culture of safety, supported by the relevant expertise, takes time to acquire. When the pharmaceutical companies gained their exemption from legal liability in 1986, they still had staff with the necessary skills. However, over the past 30 years or so, with no incentive to maintain a high standard of safety, these skills have deteriorated. The emphasis has shifted significantly to the rapid development and production of new products.

The astonishing speed with which so many Covid-19 vaccines have been developed and tested is a disturbing sign of this pronounced cultural shift within the industry. They haven't even bothered to test these products on animals. Humans are the new guinea pigs.

4. The long record of serious adverse reactions to vaccines

Dozens of independent studies have shown that many of the ingredients in vaccines are harmful to human health. The reason why this is not generally understood is that the pharmaceutical companies have a stranglehold over the regulatory process and are thus able to downplay – and even disregard – the toxicity of their products. They are also able to fund studies which “prove” that their products are “safe” by reference to standards and criteria which they alone decide. Neither will they allow independent researchers to access their data, in particular data that would reveal the full extent of the reported adverse effects.



Some of the speakers on the video, 'Message from World Doctors Alliance'

Due to the control which the industry exercises over the media, very little information about the harm caused by vaccines reaches the public. Where awards are made, it is without admission of liability and subject to a gagging or non-disclosure clause.

Furthermore, Covid vaccines are genetically modified and thus contain an entirely new category of ingredient. Many medical professionals have warned that these ingredients will elicit new types of adverse reaction. These potentially harmful side effects will be additional to those already caused by traditional vaccines. [See also #8 below]



Some of the speakers on the video, 'Message from World Doctors Alliance'

5. Covid vaccine trials are grossly inadequate

As we noted earlier, Covid vaccine trials have concentrated mainly on healthy adults and ignored the safety of other categories of intended recipients – persons over age 70, young children, pregnant women, persons with a standard chronic health condition, persons on long-term medication, persons with serious allergies, and persons with an under-performing immune system.

The trials conducted in 2020 cannot possibly predict the safety of these vaccines over the longer term. Those which use mRNA technology cannot be properly evaluated in such a short span of time. Vaccine specialists cannot be certain that the biochemical changes made by mRNA technology will not have unintended consequences. They simply won't know until their human guinea-pigs line up, take the shot, and go about their lives.

The Covid vaccines are really a grotesque experiment on the human population. As Dr Bhakdi said, they are downright dangerous.

6. mRNA and Animal Studies over 20 years

Numerous attempts over the past 18 years to create a vaccine to treat a coronavirus (such as SARS) have had no success. The trials were conducted on animals only and repeatedly resulted in severe adverse reactions. Many of the animal subjects died. (The scientists also encountered a strange new phenomenon in the course of their research which we will discuss at 10 below.)

Incredibly, the Covid vaccines are not being tested comprehensively on suitable laboratory animals. This phase of testing, which has been regarded as essential for over a hundred years, has been entirely omitted.

7. The Covid test using PCR is seriously flawed

The PCR test protocol endorsed by the World Health Organization is based on a paper by Corman, Drosten *et al* which was published by *Eurosurveillance* 25(8) on 23 January 2020. An international group of scientists (whom we will call the Borger-Kämmerer group after two of the authors) subjected the paper [‘Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR’] to a point-by-point analysis and published their findings on 27 November 2020.

The Borger-Kämmerer group comprised 22 experienced scientists from Germany, Austria, Switzerland, UK, USA, Norway, the Netherlands, Italy, and Japan. [The acronym PCR means “polymerase chain reaction”, a technique for increasing the volume of genetic material available for testing without changing its structure.]

**“It is naught, it is naught, saith the buyer:
but when he is gone his way, then he boasteth.”
– Proverbs 20:14**

The group concluded that the paper published by the Corman-Drosten group was riddled with serious defects and should not have been published: “Neither the presented test nor the manuscript itself fulfils the requirements for an acceptable scientific publication.” They found “numerous serious flaws in the Corman-Drosten paper, the significance of which has led to worldwide misdiagnosis of infections attributed to SARS-CoV-2 and associated with the disease COVID-19.”

Overall the Borger-Kämmerer group found what they called “ten fatal problems” with the Corman-Drosten paper. They noted, for example, serious failures of responsibility:

“To date no validation has been performed by the authorship based on isolated SARS-CoV-2 viruses or full length RNA thereof.”

“Critical viral load determination is mandatory information, and it [was the] responsibility [of the authors] to perform these experiments and provide the crucial data.”

The approach taken was bound to result in a test which delivered an enormous number of false positives:

“if someone is tested by PCR as positive when a threshold of 35 cycles or higher is used (as is the case in most laboratories in Europe & the US), the probability that said person is actually infected is less than 3%, [while] the probability that said result is a false positive is 97%”

Commenting on errors in the steps that the Corman-Drosten group said were needed to identify the relevant pathogen, the Borger-Kämmerer group state: “These are severe design errors, since the test cannot discriminate between the whole virus and viral fragments. The test cannot be used as a diagnostic for SARS-viruses.” The also failed to specify the temperature range within which the accuracy of the PCR protocols could be evaluated: “This is a very serious error and makes the protocol useless as a specific diagnostic tool.”

They also criticized the absence of a consistent molecular validation of PCR products. “Without molecular validation one can not be sure about the identity of the amplified PCR products. Considering the severe design errors described earlier, the amplified PCR products can be anything.” Note those words: “can be anything.” This is a remarkable repudiation of the test designed by the Corman-Dorsten group, a test which has been used to lock down the entire world, destroy economies, and inflict immense social hardship on hundreds of millions of people.



Some of the speakers on the video, ‘Message from World Doctors Alliance’

They then go on to highlight other distressing defects in this so-called test. For example:

“All individuals testing positive with the RT-PCR test, as described in the Corman-Drosten paper, are assumed to be positive for SARS-CoV-2 infections. There are three severe flaws in their assumption. First, a positive test for the RNA molecules described in the Corman-Drosten paper cannot be equated to “infection with a virus”. A positive RT-PCR test merely indicates the presence of viral RNA molecules. As demonstrated ...[above] the Corman-Drosten test was not designed to detect the full-length virus, but only a fragment of the virus. We already concluded that this classifies the test as unsuitable as a diagnostic test for SARS-virus infections.”

“The PCR test in the Corman-Drosten paper therefore contains neither a unique positive control nor a negative control to exclude the presence of other coronaviruses. This is another major design flaw which classifies the test as unsuitable for diagnosis.”



They also deplore the failure to establish what is known as a “standard operational procedure” for use by laboratories around the world. Without this there is no common yardstick or standard that would enable results published by one laboratory to be compared with those published by another, whether in the same country or in other countries, in a scientifically consistent way:

“There should be a Standard Operational Procedure (SOP) available, which unequivocally specifies the above parameters, so that all laboratories are able to set up the identical same test conditions. To have a validated universal SOP is essential, because it facilitates data comparison within and between countries. It is very important to specify all primer parameters unequivocally. We note that this has not been done.”

Their closing comments alone would require anyone in the scientific community to reject the Corman-Drosten paper:

“The Corman-Drosten paper was submitted to *Eurosurveillance* on January 21st 2020 and accepted for publication on January 22nd 2020. On January 23rd 2020 the paper was online. On January 13th 2020 version 1-0 of the protocol was published at the official WHO website, updated on January 17th 2020 as document version 2-1, even before the Corman-Drosten paper was published on January 23rd at *Eurosurveillance*.”

Wow! This is really hard to believe, but it shows the depth of deception and skulduggery behind the whole ‘Covid pandemic’. The World Health Organization was already using the Corman-Drosten paper as the basis for its worldwide test, even before the paper was published. Furthermore, the paper itself was approved for publication by *Eurosurveillance* within 24 hours of its submission! This is unheard of. Every scientific paper in the world today, upon submission to a reputable publisher, must be peer-reviewed by independent, properly qualified referees. This can take weeks or months. Only after it has passed a rigorous check and satisfied the referees that it meets the minimum scientific standards, can it be published. The Borger-Kämmerer group were even more surprised when they discovered that two members of the Corman-Dorsten group were on the editorial board of *Eurosurveillance*!



Some of the speakers on the video, ‘Message from World Doctors Alliance’

Had it been refereed, the Corman-Dorsten paper would have been rejected. As the Borger-Kämmerer group point out, the errors in the paper are so grave that anyone with a grounding in this branch of science would have spotted them quite easily:

“Our conclusion is supported by the fact that a tremendous number of very serious design flaws were found by us, which make the PCR test completely unsuitable as a diagnostic tool to identify the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Any molecular biologist familiar with RT-PCR design would have easily observed the grave errors present in the Corman-Drosten paper before the actual review process.”

The Borger-Kämmerer group concluded that, in light of “all the tremendous PCR-protocol design flaws and errors described here”, where a paper that was patently unfit for publication anywhere was accepted and published within 24 hours without any peer-review, “the framework of scientific integrity” (as they put it) no longer has any meaning.

Four German tourists who were unlawfully interned in their hotel rooms in Portugal for 14 days on foot of this bogus test decided to take their case to court. On 11 November the Portuguese court ruled that the test was defective. Two judges in the Court of Appeal in Lisbon, who upheld the ruling, are now threatened with disciplinary action. Clearly, the courts may intervene only where they give the result that the Elite want.

Covid-19 is a disease so deadly that you have to be tested to know if you have it, with a vaccine so safe that you have to be bludgeoned to make you take it.

8. Unethical ingredients and genetic modification

Of great concern to all Christians is the inclusion in vaccines, including Covid vaccines, of cell lines derived from aborted babies. Even if the amount of “material” is miniscule and the line has been replicated thousands of times, the fact remains that the industry is making substantial profits from the body of a dead child. Recipients of such vaccines are implicated in this practise. This is immensely pleasing to the powers of darkness.

Many vaccines also include cell lines derived from animal tissue. While we may eat animal flesh, we should never allow animal cells to enter directly into our bloodstream. While the body is generally able to cleanse itself of this material if it remains genetically distinct, it may not be able to do so where one or more ingredients in a vaccine have been genetically modified.




Genetically modified material comes in two forms. One interacts with the body without affecting our DNA, while the other is able to interfere directly with our DNA. By their very nature – which is unnatural – all GMOs are out of step with our metabolism. Since God made our body fully compatible with all varieties of the food that He provided for our benefit, any “adjustments” to the genetic structure of that food is liable to impair its compatibility with our biology. Even if a change is not immediately noticeable, it will place a strain on our metabolism and weaken our constitution over time.

- genetic experimentation

Worse still are genetically modified materials which are able to affect our DNA. They can do this by infiltrating our cells and (a) entering the cell nucleus where our DNA resides or (b) adhering to organelles inside the cell which play a direct role in implementing the instructions given by our DNA. One way or another, a change of this nature (as far as we know) is both permanent and irreversible. What is more, it will almost certainly be transmitted to the next generation.

The pharmaceutical industry boasts that it now has the technology to do this and that this technology will be used in the development of Covid vaccines. We cannot know for certain whether or not these vaccines will make permanent changes to our DNA or the way our DNA is expressed at a cellular level, nevertheless we run the risk that this may happen if we take one of the Covid vaccines.



It is ironic to think that many of those who are greatly afraid of contracting the Covid virus, and who will rush to take a vaccine, are not too concerned about the permanent damage that the vaccine could do to their DNA.

The FDA and the medical profession are well aware of the serious side effects that are likely to manifest after the vaccines are administered. The mainstream media will not inform the public that, at a presentation by the FDA on 22 October 2020, a slide was shown with the draft list of “possible” side effects – see graphic overleaf.

We would urge our readers to examine this list very carefully since, quite frankly, it is horrifying. All of these “adverse event outcomes” are very serious. Some will result in death or a lifetime of chronic illness and debility. Of course, we cannot know how many recipients will suffer from one of these “possible” outcomes, but the very fact that the FDA is briefing its staff to anticipate adverse effects of this severity would suggest that the proportion of the population that will be affected will not be trivial.

If only one person in a thousand suffers one of these outcomes, then 320,000 Americans – healthy men, women and children – will be killed or permanently incapacitated by the vaccine.

FDA Safety Surveillance of COVID-19 Vaccines :
DRAFT Working list of possible adverse event outcomes
*****Subject to change*****

- | | |
|---|--|
| ▪ Guillain-Barré syndrome | ▪ Deaths |
| ▪ Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis | ▪ Pregnancy and birth outcomes |
| ▪ Transverse myelitis | ▪ Other acute demyelinating diseases |
| ▪ Encephalitis/myelitis/encephalomyelitis/
meningoencephalitis/meningitis/
encephalopathy | ▪ Non-anaphylactic allergic reactions |
| ▪ Convulsions/seizures | ▪ Thrombocytopenia |
| ▪ Stroke | ▪ Disseminated intravascular coagulation |
| ▪ Narcolepsy and cataplexy | ▪ Venous thromboembolism |
| ▪ Anaphylaxis | ▪ Arthritis and arthralgia/joint pain |
| ▪ Acute myocardial infarction | ▪ Kawasaki disease |
| ▪ Myocarditis/pericarditis | ▪ Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome
in Children |
| ▪ Autoimmune disease | ▪ Vaccine enhanced disease |


Note the four references in the FDA slide to illnesses involving myelitis, a dangerous degeneration of the myelin coating that protects our nerve fibres. Dr Igor Shepherd made a specific reference to this “side effect” in his talk on 10 November 2020 – see our paper #254.

As we noted in a recent paper (#253), the British are also preparing for an avalanche of adverse reactions. They have commissioned a company that specializes in artificial intelligence to develop, as a matter of urgency, a software system that will enable them to record, analyze and cross-tabulate vast quantities of vaccine data.

9. Nanotechnology

By and large the public is almost completely unaware of nanotechnology and the extraordinary advances that have been made in this branch of science over the past twenty years. It is now possible to design and manufacture tiny structures that are no more than a few millionths of a centimeter across. Perhaps the best way to visualize this is to express it in terms of increasing, rather than decreasing, size. Imagine a grain of sand beside a great oak tree. The grain corresponds to the nanoparticle and the trunk of the oak tree corresponds to the smallest blood vessel in our body. This analogy may be slightly exaggerated but it gives a fair idea of the truly astonishing range of new products and applications that this innovative technology enables.

A tiny machine, known as a nanobot, can be assembled from a set of custom-designed nanoparticles. These have long passed the experimental stage and have been tested by the military. It is not known how many can be manufactured for practical use or how easily they could be deployed in a military context, but the science is advancing very rapidly.



Many critics of the New World Order are very concerned that nanoparticles and nanobots could be used on the civilian population, most likely via a vaccine. Nanoparticles are already being added surreptitiously to certain foods to “enhance flavor.” Independent studies have also been made of the possible implications for human health if very simple classes of nanoparticle, such as titanium dioxide, are gradually added to the human food chain. These tiny particles would serve as permanent halting sites within the body around which cancer cells could cluster, in much the same way that asbestos dust in our lungs provides a nesting place for cancer cells. Our immune system may not be able to detect and dislodge all of these cells, so a tumor could develop. Being metallic, the same nanoparticles would also absorb radiation from 5G transmitters, creating a whole new class of health risk.

An eminent French physician, Dr Louis Fouché, has recently warned of the real possibility that nanoparticles, and even tiny RFID microchips, could be added to the vaccines without our knowledge or consent:

“You have heard as I have that there is talk of injecting, at the same time as the vaccine, either nanoparticles or RFIDs, in any case, a way to be able to know if you are vaccinated or not: a vaccination certificate that starts to fluoresce when you pass your smartphone over it, and things like that. Well, all the technology and patents are ready. It hasn’t been talked about in the mainstream media...”

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/refuse-this-vaccination-acclaimed-paris-doctor-unloads-on-covid-vaccine>

The same doctor warned loudly of the dangers of taking the Covid vaccine, citing many reasons for his opinion. He stressed in particular that it not be given to children – who are not susceptible to the disease and do not transfer it to others. In his opinion, the vaccines have been developed far too quickly, have not been properly tested, and carry serious risks that far outweigh any possible benefit.



Grieving Filipino parents and relatives of some of the 600 children killed by Sanofi's dengue vaccine.


10. Pathogenic priming

While using genetic technology to develop new vaccines, scientists made an alarming discovery. A vaccine that was tested on ferrets – which have an upper respiratory function very similar to humans – showed very promising results. All of the ferrets developed antibodies to the cultured form of the virus that was used in the vaccine. However, shortly afterwards, when the ferrets came into contact with the “wild” form of the same virus, they all became extremely ill and died. Their reaction was much more severe than that of ferrets who didn't receive the vaccine.

This phenomenon is now known as “pathogenic priming” or “immune enhancement”. Seemingly the vaccine “primes” the immune system to respond vigorously to the cultured virus – the one used in the vaccine – but sends it into overdrive when it meets the wild form. It is not clear why this happens but it is very bad news for vaccine manufacturers.

The first time this occurred, in the late 1960s, the scientists concerned failed to diagnose the cause correctly. Of 35 children who were vaccinated with a trial vaccine, all became much sicker when exposed to the wild virus than the children who were not vaccinated, and two of them died. Years later, in 2012, when something similar happened with the ferrets, the phenomenon was identified. However, it takes time for a discovery like this to percolate through the scientific community. When a vaccine to protect against Dengue fever was tried in the Philippines in 2014, no account was taken of the possibility that pathogenic priming might occur. As a result, 600 children died after taking the vaccine. (*Wikipedia* carries an article on this tragic event under the heading ‘Dengvaxia Controversy’.)

So, in answer to the question, “Can vaccines kill?” we can reply without hesitation, “Yes, they can, and they have.” Six hundred deaths is a ghastly toll. Sadly, if instead of dying, the children had been paralyzed, the medical authorities might have found a way to cover up the disaster. It is well known that severe adverse events in third world countries are often ascribed to something other than the vaccine.



The public is not aware of the importance of testing all vaccines on animals before they are subjected to human trials. So, when they are told that the Covid vaccines were tested only on humans, and not on animals, they fail to see that a critical safeguard in the development process has been omitted. As many medical professionals are now warning, the Covid vaccines may cause pathogenic priming in many recipients, with unpredictable and potentially fatal results.

We discuss the views of two such experts in the next section.

11. The risks include mass sterilization

In their urgent submission to the European Medicines Agency (1 December 2020), Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Chairman of the Health Committee of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe) and Dr Michael Yeadon (former head of Respiratory Research at Pfizer) stated that one or more of the Covid vaccines have ingredients which affect the development of the placenta in mammals. They are concerned that antibodies produced by the vaccine “would result in vaccinated women essentially becoming infertile.”

Here is how they explained it:

“The vaccinations are expected to produce antibodies against spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2. However, spike proteins also contain syncytin-homologous proteins, which are essential for the formation of the placenta in mammals such as humans. It must be absolutely ruled out that a vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 could trigger an immune reaction against syncytin-1, as otherwise infertility of indefinite duration could result in vaccinated women.”

They describe many other concerns about the vaccines, in particular the risk of pathogenic priming (which we discussed at #10 above), the presence of polyethylene glycol in at least one of the vaccines (which can provoke severe allergic reactions in some people), and the many risks posed by the short duration of the human trials.

12. The use of coercion to increase vaccine uptake

Governments have found that, despite their best efforts to frighten the masses, they will not achieve a high uptake without resorting to coercion. If the Covid threat was real, and not exaggerated, and the vaccine was safe, and not fraught with danger, then one would expect a large proportion of the public to request it. But many people can see that the ‘pandemic’ is largely imaginary and that the proposed vaccines are a leap in the dark. However, if the vaccine is made mandatory, at least initially, the existing pockets of resistance might fuse into an organized movement. The Elite don’t want this to happen. So the simplest approach, and the one which most governments appear to be taking, is to make receipt of the vaccine a non-negotiable requirement for receipt of certain services. The one mentioned most often is travel on national or international airlines, but many more options are being considered. High street stores may not admit shoppers whose smart phone app does not show they have received the vaccine. Businesses may make the vaccine mandatory for all employees. The social and economic restrictions may quickly accumulate to the point where those who remain “vaccine hesitant” may be obliged to remain at home – under a form of “self-isolation” – for the rest of their lives.



Covid handcuffs

These drastic implications are evidence that the ‘pandemic’ is part of a wider plan to restructure society, that it has been exaggerated for a purpose, and that the ‘vaccine’ is really a vehicle for ingredients that will enable the architects behind the coming ‘New World Order’ and *La Grande Réinitialisation* to greatly extend their control over society.



We could add many more substantive points to this list. For example, the systematic reclassification of deaths formerly attributed to flu, pneumonia and respiratory tract infections as ‘Covid’-related deaths. The World Health Organization no longer publishes statistics on flu-related mortality. Or the deliberate use of a fraudulent test to generate “new cases” among people who do not have, and will not develop, Covid-related symptoms. Or the cavalier disregard for the ‘Precautionary Principle’ which makes it unethical to offer goods or services to anyone where the expected benefits do not substantially outweigh the risks involved. Or the suppression of alternative ways of treating Covid-related symptoms, such as hydroxychloroquin, intravenous vitamin C and zinc supplementation. Or the systematic censorship of medical professionals and eminent scientists who are challenging the official account of the so-called pandemic and warning of the great risks posed by the vaccine. Or the fact that the so-called pandemic was preceded by a series of planning events, organized by the likes of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the Rockefeller Foundation, which show that the crisis was deliberately engineered in order to allow draconian social controls to be imposed.

Population Reduction and the Club of Rome

“The chief recommendation was to develop a microbe which would attack the auto-immune system and thus render the development of a [cure] impossible. The orders were given to develop the microbe...The microbe would be used against the general population and would be introduced by vaccine...The cure will be administered to the survivors when it is decided that enough people have died. The cure will be announced as newly developed when in fact it has existed from the beginning. This plan is a part of *Global 2000*.” – *Behold a Pale Horse* (1991) by William Cooper, p.167.

[Cooper is discussing the plan for world population control devised by the Club of Rome on foot of its report *The Limits of Growth* (1972) which formed the basis of *The Global 2000 Report to the President*. The latter report was commissioned by President Carter in 1980. Only an edited and greatly shortened form of the report was ever published.]

CONCLUSION

What is the level of risk posed by the vaccine? Who can say? That's why this is such a serious matter! There is no way to undo the effects of the vaccine after it has been administered.

As we have seen, the benefits, if any, are negligible. So why would any parent run the risk? Why would they commit irreversibly the welfare of their child to an industry which seeks only to maximize its profits, an industry whose reputation is deeply tarnished (to say the least), and which has been shown time and again to cause harm – both injuries and fatalities – with its products? It is also an industry that accepts no liability whatever for the harm caused by its vaccines.

Why would any adult take the same risks? It makes no sense.

The censorship online is so intense that fewer and fewer cautionary voices are being heard. Facebook and YouTube are deciding what the masses should be allowed to know. In Ireland, the mainstream media exudes a steady flow of pro-vaccine propaganda every day. Inglorious rags like *The Irish Times* and *The Irish Independent*, along with several other deceitful publications, work hand-in-hand with the national broadcaster, RTE, to maintain the irrational fear that has crippled the minds of ordinary people. The situation, seemingly, is much the same across Europe and America. Meanwhile the police harass and beat up people who dare to assemble in the street and ask to have their freedoms restored.

Thankfully, there are still a few experts like Yeadon, Wodarg and Fouché whose voices we can hear. An important video was released a few weeks ago which features around two dozen medical professionals in Europe and America who warn in the strongest terms of the risks posed by the vaccine. It is called 'Message from World Doctors Alliance' and may be found at <https://www.bitchute.com/video/aROYQXesk8Sq/>

Before we close, we'll briefly summarize the main reasons why, in our opinion, nobody should take this vaccine:

- The 'pandemic' is greatly exaggerated and the vaccines are not needed.
- It is the first time mRNA technology has been used in vaccine development.
- The vaccines have not undergone any animal trials.
- The human trials are highly selective.
- The human trials are far too short.
- The virus has never been isolated, so the vaccines are largely guesswork.
- According to the FDA, the Covid vaccines will produce many serious side effects, including convulsions, seizures, narcolepsy, miscarriages, demyelinating diseases, allergic and anaphylactic reactions, stroke, inflammatory syndrome in children, Guillain-Barré syndrome, venous embolism, and autoimmune disease.

- According to other medical experts the vaccines may also cause mass sterilization and pathogenic priming. They may also make permanent changes to our DNA or alter the way our DNA is expressed.
- The pharmaceutical companies are exempt from all liability for the harm caused by their vaccines.
- The vaccines are being promoted as the only effective form of treatment, when we know that alternative – and infinitely safer – forms of treatment exist.
- Vaccines can be used to insinuate into our bodies products and substances which serve a wider agenda, including nanoparticles and RFID chips.
- Many of the institutions involved in promoting a worldwide vaccine program are led by men and women who see eugenics as an ethical way of containing or reducing the world's population. Furthermore, the same institutions that are strongly endorsing abortion (including near birth abortion), euthanasia, gender fluidity, and homosexuality are also strongly in favor of the Covid vaccine program.

As we have already noted, the Flood was the only time in history when all of mankind was faced with extinction. It was a judgment of God. However God, in His mercy, preserved eight souls and enabled them to survive and replenish the world.

In his outrageous pride, Satan likes to believe he can do anything God does. With the advent of the worldwide Covid vaccine program Satan has finally been able to engineer, after the passage of four and a half thousand years or so, a situation similar to the Flood. Some people mistakenly believe he reached that point with the development of the thermonuclear bomb in the 1960s and the accumulation of massive arsenals of these weapons in both the US and the USSR. But that was an illusion. Explosive nuclear devices do not exist.

Alas, vaccines do. The world is only **now** reaching the dark and dangerous place which it mistakenly believed it had entered during the Cold War. The 'fallout' from these vaccines, if they are designed for a sinister purpose, will be unbelievably destructive.

This is the moment the Enemy has been waiting for. We would be very foolish to assume he'll simply yawn and let it pass.

Jeremy James
Ireland
December 13, 2020

- SPECIAL REQUEST -

Regular readers are encouraged to download the papers on this website for safekeeping and future reference. They may not always be available. Papers for each year from 2009 to 2019 may also be downloaded in a single file, or possibly two, from www.archive.org (Use search term 'Jeremy James').

We are rapidly moving into an era where material of this kind may be obtained only via email. Readers who wish to be included on a future mailing list are welcome to contact me at the following email address:- jeremypauljames@gmail.com.

For further information visit www.zephaniah.eu

Copyright Jeremy James 2020